

# TAMARI LATTICES FOR PARABOLIC QUOTIENTS OF THE SYMMETRIC GROUP

Henri Mühle and Nathan Williams  
LIAFA (Université Paris Diderot) and LaCIM (UQAM)

## Parabolic 231-Avoiding Permutations

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the symmetric group on  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $S := \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  be the set of simple reflections  $s_i := (i, i+1)$ . The Cayley graph of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  generated by  $S$  may be oriented to form the *weak order*, which is a lattice. Fix  $J := S \setminus \{s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}, \dots, s_{j_r}\}$ , and let  $B(J)$  be the set partition of  $[n]$  (whose parts we call *J-regions*)

$$\{\{1, \dots, j_1\}, \{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_2\}, \dots, \{j_{r-1} + 1, \dots, j_r\}, \{j_r + 1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

The *parabolic quotient*  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J$  is the set of  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  whose one-line notation has the form

$$w = w_1 < \dots < w_{j_1} \mid w_{j_1+1} < \dots < w_{j_2} \mid \dots \mid w_{j_{r-1}+1} < \dots < w_n.$$

**Definition 1** A permutation  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^J$  is *J-231-avoiding* if there exist no three indices  $i < j < k$ , all of which lie in different *J*-regions, such that  $w_k < w_i < w_j$  and  $w_i = w_k + 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)$  denote the set of *J*-231-avoiding permutations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J$ .

**Theorem 2** For  $J \subseteq S$ , the restriction of the weak order to  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)$  forms a lattice. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{T}_n^J$  is a lattice quotient of the weak order on  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J$ .

When  $J = \emptyset$ , we recover the classical Tamari lattice on 231-avoiding permutations.

## Parabolic Noncrossing Partitions

Let  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s\}$  be a set partition of  $[n]$ . A pair  $(a, b)$  is a *bump* of  $\mathbf{P}$  if  $a, b \in P_i$  for some  $i \in [s]$  and there is no  $c \in P_i$  with  $a < c < b$ .

**Definition 3** A set partition  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $[n]$  is *J-noncrossing* if it satisfies:

(NC1) If  $i$  and  $j$  lie in the same *J*-region, then they are not contained in the same part of  $\mathbf{P}$ .


(NC2) If two distinct bumps  $(i_1, i_2)$  and  $(j_1, j_2)$  of  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfy  $i_1 < j_1 < i_2 < j_2$ , then either  $i_1$  and  $j_1$  lie in the same *J*-region or  $i_2$  and  $j_2$  lie in the same *J*-region.

(NC3) If two distinct bumps  $(i_1, i_2)$  and  $(j_1, j_2)$  of  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfy  $i_1 < j_1 < j_2 < i_2$ , then  $i_1$  and  $j_1$  lie in different *J*-regions.

Let  $\text{NC}_n^J$  denote the set of all *J*-noncrossing set partitions of  $[n]$ .

When  $J = \emptyset$ , we recover the classical noncrossing set partitions.

**Example 4** For  $J = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_8\}$ ,  $\{\{1\}, \{2, 9\}, \{3, 10\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7\}\} \in \text{NC}_{10}^J$ .



## Parabolic Nonnesting Partitions

**Definition 5** A set partition  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $[n]$  is *J-nonnesting* if it satisfies:


(NN1) If  $i$  and  $j$  lie in the same *J*-region, then they are not in the same part of  $\mathbf{P}$ .

(NN2) If  $(i_1, i_2)$  and  $(j_1, j_2)$  are two distinct bumps of  $\mathbf{P}$ , then it is not the case that  $i_1 < j_1 < j_2 < i_2$ .

Let  $\text{NN}_n^J$  denote the set of all *J*-nonnesting partitions of  $[n]$ .

When  $J = \emptyset$ , we recover the classical nonnesting set partitions.

**Example 6** For  $J = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_8\}$ ,  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 8\}, \{6, 10\}, \{7\}, \{8\}, \{9\}\} \in \text{NN}_{10}^J$ .



## Parabolic Catalan Objects are Equinumerous

Although we no longer have a product formula for  $|\mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)|$ , our parabolic generalizations remain in bijection, generalizing the situation when  $J = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 7** For  $n > 0$  and  $J \subseteq S$ , we have  $|\mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)| = |\text{NC}_n^J| = |\text{NN}_n^J|$ .

## From $\mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)$ to $\text{NC}_n^J$


A permutation  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^J(231)$  corresponds to the *J*-noncrossing partition  $\mathbf{P} \in \text{NC}_n^J$  whose bumps are determined by the descents of  $w$ .

**Example 8** For  $n = 10$  and  $J = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_8\}$ ,

$$1 \ 7 \ 9 \ 10 \mid 2 \ 5 \mid 3 \mid 4 \ 6 \mid 8$$

$$\in \mathfrak{S}_{10}^J \text{ gives}$$


$$\in \text{NC}_{10}^J.$$




## From $\text{NC}_n^J$ to $\text{NN}_n^J$

A *J*-noncrossing partition  $\mathbf{P}$  corresponds to the *J*-nonnesting partition  $\mathbf{P}'$ , in which the minimal elements outside  $\mathbf{P}'$  are determined by the bumps of  $\mathbf{P}$ .

**Example 9** For  $n = 10$  and  $J = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_8\}$ , we compute



## Example: $\mathfrak{S}_4, J = \{s_2\}$



## Outlook

We can generalize the definition of *J*-231-sortable elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n^J$  to parabolic quotients of any finite Coxeter group and to any Coxeter element.

The definition of parabolic noncrossing and nonnesting partitions—as well as the *c*-cluster complex—can also be generalized, but—in contrast to the classical case when  $J = \emptyset$ —the four sets are not always equinumerous.